

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/009,32/	01720798	FASCI		IAI T.:	320.1015/JD	
STAAS & HALSEY		QM11/0520	一	EXAMINER PROOF J		
700 11TH STE SUITE 500				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON I	DC 20001			3727	, 2	

DATE MAILED: 05/20/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	09/009327 Examiner J. M.	Group Art Unit	
	7.14	104 3729	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet l	beneath the correspondence address	
Period for Response	_	,	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE I MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	S SET TO EXPIRE 300	MONTH(S) FROM THE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Confrom the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) of the NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response 	ays, a response within the statut default, expire SIX (6) MONTH:	tory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered times S from the mailing date of this communication.	
Status			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
☐ This action is FINAL .			
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exc accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 			
Disposition of Claims			
XClaim(s) 1-32	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are rejected.	
□ Claim(s)		is/are objected to.	
Claim(s) 1-32	, s		
Application Papers		requirement.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra	wing Review, PTO-948.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapproved.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are ob	jected to by the Examiner.		
 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 			
·	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	day 05 11 0 0	(4)	
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu 	of the priority documents h	nave been	
- received in Application 140. (Genes Code/Genat Nu	,		
$\hfill\Box$ received in this national stage application from the			
☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received:	Appen		
*Certified copies not received:			
· · ·		Interview Summary, PTO-413	
*Certified copies not received: Attachment(s)	er No(s)		

Serial Number: 09/009327

Art Unit: 3727

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: (1) Figs. 1-12A;

- (2) Figs. 13B-14B;
- (3) Figs. 15C-17C;
- (4) Figs. 18D;
- (5) Figs. 19E-24E;

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this office action should be directed to Examiner Joseph Moy, telephone number: (703) 308-1145.

J Moy

Fax. (703) 305-3579

Date: 05/12/98